

IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020C

July 2004

Supersedes IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020B
July 2002

JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD

Moisture/Reflow
Sensitivity Classification
for Nonhermetic
Solid State Surface
Mount Devices



Notice

IPC and JEDEC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC or JEDEC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publications, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC or JEDEC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC or JEDEC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC or JEDEC do not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement. The material in this joint standard was developed by the IPC Plastic Chip Carrier Cracking Task Group (B-10a) and the JEDEC JC-14.1 Committee on Reliability Test Methods for Packaged Devices

For Technical Information Contact:

JEDEC
Solid State Technology Association
2500 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22201-3834
Phone (703) 907-7560
Fax (703) 907-7501

IPC
2215 Sanders Road
Northbrook, IL 60062-6135
Phone (847) 509-9700
Fax (847) 509-9798

Please use the Standard Improvement Form shown at the end of this document.



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®



IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020C

Moisture/Reflow Sensitivity Classification for Nonhermetic Solid State Surface Mount Devices

A joint standard developed by the IPC Plastic Chip Carrier Cracking Task Group (B-10a) and the JEDEC JC-14.1 Committee on Reliability Test Methods for Packaged Devices

Supersedes:

IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020B -
July 2002
IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020A -
April 1999
J-STD-020 - October 1996
JEDEC JESD22-A112
IPC-SM-786A - January 1995
IPC-SM-786 - December 1990

Users of this standard are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

JEDEC
Solid State Technology Association
2500 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22201-3834
Phone (703) 907-7500
Fax (703) 907-7501

IPC
2215 Sanders Road
Northbrook, IL 60062-6135
Phone (847) 509-9700
Fax (847) 509-9798

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Members of the IPC Association Connecting Electronics Industries® IPC Plastic Chip Carrier Cracking Task Group (B-10a) and the JEDEC Solid State Technology Association JEDEC JC-14.1 Committee on Reliability Test Methods for Packaged Devices have worked together to develop this document. We would like to thank them for their dedication to this effort.

Any Standard involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Joint Moisture Classification Working Group are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this Standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC and JEDEC extend their gratitude.

IPC Plastic Chip Carrier Cracking Task Group

Chairman
Steven R. Martell
Sonoscan, Inc.

JEDEC JC 14.1 Committee

Chairman
Jack McCullen
Intel Corporation

JEDEC JC 14

Chairman
Nick Lycoudes
Freescale Semiconductor

Joint Moisture Classification Working Group Members

Brent Beamer, Static Control Components, Inc.
James Mark Bird, Amkor Technology Inc.
Michael W. Blazier, Delphi Electronics and Safety
Richard W. Boerdner, EJE Research
Maurice Brodeur, Analog Devices Inc.
Victor J. Brzozowski, Northrop Grumman Corporation
Ralph Carbone, Hewlett-Packard Company
Srinivas Chada, Ph.D, Jabil Circuit, Inc.
Tim Chaudhry, ASAT, Inc.
Vicki Chin, Cisco Systems Inc.
Quy Chu, Jabil Circuit, Inc.
Chao-Wen Chung, LSI Logic Corp.
Andre Clement, SGS Thomson Microelectronics
Jeffrey C. Colish, Northrop Grumman Corporation
Samuel J. Croce, Northrop Grumman
Derek D'Andrade, SMTC Corporation
Gordon Davy, Northrop Grumman Corporation
Glenn Dearing, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc
Robert DiMaggio, Sud-Chemie Performance Package
Vincent Dubois, Cogiscan Inc.
Bernard Ecker, Northrop Grumman
Jesper Erland, Terma Elektronik AS
Leo G. Feinstein, Leo Feinstein Associates

Barry R. Fernelius, Agilent Technologies
Kim Finch, Boeing Phantom Works
Rupert Fischer, Infineon Technologies AG
Bill Full, Philips Semiconductors
Alelie Funcell, Xilinx, Inc.
Ranjit Gannamani, AMD, Inc.
Jerry Gleason, Hewlett-Packard Company
Frank V. Grano, Sanmina-SCI Corporation
Curtis Grosskopf, IBM Corporation
Fred Hashemi, Standard Microsystems Corp.
George Hawkins, Freescale Semiconductor
Brad Hawthorne, Elantec Semiconductor
Mario Interrante, IBM Corporation
Terence Kern, Ambitech International
Arshad Khan, Celestica International Inc.
Amol Kirtikar, Sud Chemie Performance Pac
Glenn A. Koscal, Carsem
Mark A. Kwoka, Intersil Corporation
Xavier Lambert, Schneider Electric Industries SAS
Nick Lycoudes, Freescale Semiconductor
James F. Maguire, Intel Corporation
Steven R. Martell, Sonoscan Inc.
Michelle Martin, Sud-Chemie Performance Package
Jack McCullen, Intel Corporation
Sean McDermott, Celestica
Paul Melville, Philips Semiconductor

James H. Moffitt, Moffitt Consulting Services
Julio Moral, Jr., Actel Corporation
Robert Mulligan, Motorola Inc.
Keith G. Newman, Sun Microsystems Inc.
John Northrup, BAE Systems Platform Solutions
Larry Nye, Texas Instruments
Kerry Oren, ITT Industries
Deepak K. Pai, C.I.D.+, General Dynamics-Advanced Information
Ramon R. Reglos, Xilinx, Inc.
Charles Reynolds, IBM Corporation
Heidi L. Reynolds, Sun Microsystems Inc.
Marty Rodriguez, Jabil Circuit, Inc.
Michael A. Sandor, Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Valeska Schroeder, Ph.D., Hewlett-Packard Company
William Sepp, Technic Inc.
Dongkai Shangguan, Ph.D., Flextronics International
Richard Shook, Agere Systems Inc.
Michael Sienicki, ESPEC Corp.
Joe Smetana, Alcatel USA
Bradley Smith, Allegro MicroSystems Inc.
Ralph W. Taylor, Lockheed Martin Maritime Systems
Nick Virmani, Naval Research Lab
Randall Walberg, National Semiconductor Corp.
Michael Westlake, ON Semiconductor
James M. Whitehouse, Plexus Corp.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

1 PURPOSE	1	6 CRITERIA	6
1.1 Scope	1	6.1 Failure Criteria	6
1.2 Background	1	6.2 Criteria Requiring Further Evaluation	7
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	1	6.2.1 Delamination	7
2.1 JEDEC Solid State Technology Associaton	1	6.3 Failure Verification	8
2.2 IPC	2	7 MOISTURE/REFLOW SENSITIVITY CLASSIFICATION	8
2.3 Joint Industry Standards	2	8 OPTIONAL WEIGHT GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS	8
3 APPARATUS	2	8.1 Weight Gain	8
3.1 Temperature Humidity Chambers	2	8.2 Absorption Curve	8
3.2 Solder Reflow Equipment	2	8.2.1 Read Points	8
3.2.1 Full Convection (Preferred)	2	8.2.2 Dry Weight	8
3.2.2 Infrared	2	8.2.3 Moisture Soak	8
3.3 Ovens	2	8.2.4 Readouts	8
3.4 Microscopes	2	8.3 Desorption Curve	9
3.4.1 Optical Microscope	2	8.3.1 Read Points	9
3.4.2 Scanning Acoustic Microscope	2	8.3.2 Baking	9
3.5 Cross-Sectioning	2	8.3.3 Readouts	9
3.6 Electrical Test	2	9 ADDITIONS AND EXCEPTIONS	9
3.7 Weighing Apparatus (Optional)	2	Annex A	10
4 CLASSIFICATION/RECLASSIFICATION	3		
4.1 Compatibility with Pb-Free Rework	3		
4.2 Reclassification	3		
5 PROCEDURE	4		
5.1 Sample Requirements	4		
5.1.1 Reclassification (Qualified Package Without Additional Reliability Testing)	4		
5.1.2 Classification/Reclassification and Rework	4		
5.2 Initial Electrical Test	4		
5.3 Initial Inspection	4		
5.4 Bake	5		
5.5 Moisture Soak	5		
5.6 Reflow	5		
5.7 Final External Visual	5		
5.8 Final Electrical Test	5		
5.9 Final Acoustic Microscopy	5		

Figures

Figure 5-1 Classification Reflow Profile	6
--	---

Tables

Table 4-1 SnPb Eutectic Process – Package Peak Reflow Temperatures	3
Table 4-2 Pb-free Process – Package Classification Reflow Temperatures	3
Table 5-1 Moisture Sensitivity Levels	5
Table 5-2 Classification Reflow Profiles	6

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Moisture/Reflow Sensitivity Classification for Nonhermetic Solid State Surface Mount Devices

1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this standard is to identify the classification level of nonhermetic solid state surface mount devices (SMDs) that are sensitive to moisture-induced stress so that they can be properly packaged, stored, and handled to avoid damage during assembly solder reflow attachment and/or repair operations.

This standard may be used to determine what classification/preconditioning level should be used for SMD package qualification. Passing the criteria in this test method is not sufficient by itself to provide assurance of long-term reliability.

1.1 Scope This classification procedure applies to all nonhermetic solid state Surface Mount Devices (SMDs) in packages, which, because of absorbed moisture, could be sensitive to damage during solder reflow. The term SMD as used in this document means plastic encapsulated surface mount packages and other packages made with moisture-permeable materials. The categories are intended to be used by SMD producers to inform users (board assembly operations) of the level of moisture sensitivity of their product devices, and by board assembly operations to ensure that proper handling precautions are applied to moisture/reflow sensitive devices. If no major changes have been made to a previously qualified SMD package, this method may be used for reclassification according to 4.2.

This standard cannot address all of the possible component, board assembly and product design combinations. However, the standard does provide a test method and criteria for commonly used technologies. Where uncommon or specialized components or technologies are necessary, the development should include customer/manufacturer involvement and the criteria should include an agreed definition of product acceptance.

SMD packages classified to a given moisture sensitivity level by using Procedures or Criteria defined within any previous version of J-STD-020, JESD22-A112 (rescinded), IPC-SM-786 (superseded) do not need to be reclassified to the current revision unless a change in classification level or a higher peak reflow temperature is desired.

Note: If the procedures in this document are used on packaged devices that are not included in this specification's scope, the failure criteria for such packages must be agreed upon by the device supplier and their end user.

1.2 Background The vapor pressure of moisture inside a nonhermetic package increases greatly when the package is exposed to the high temperature of solder reflow. Under certain conditions, this pressure can cause internal delamination of the packaging materials from the die and/or leadframe/substrate, internal cracks that do not extend to the outside of the package, bond damage, wire necking, bond lifting, die lifting, thin film cracking, or cratering beneath the bonds. In the most severe case, the stress can result in external package cracks. This is commonly referred to as the "popcorn" phenomenon because the internal stress causes the package to bulge and then crack with an audible "pop." SMDs are more susceptible to this problem than through-hole parts because they are exposed to higher temperatures during reflow soldering. The reason for this is that the soldering operation must occur on the same side of the board as the SMD device. For through-hole devices, the soldering operation occurs under the board that shields the devices from the hot solder.

2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 JEDEC Solid State Technology Association¹

JESD22-A120 Test Method for the Measurement of Moisture Diffusivity and Water Solubility in Organic Materials Used in Integrated Circuits

JESD22-A113 Preconditioning Procedures of Plastic Surface Mount Devices Prior to Reliability Testing

JESD 47 Stress Test Driven Qualification Specification

JESD-625 Requirements for Handling Electrostatic Discharge Sensitive (ESD) Devices

1. www.jedec.org

2.2 IPC²

IPC-TM-650 Test Methods Manual³

2.1.1 Microsectioning

2.1.1.2 Microsectioning - Semi or Automatic Technique Microsection Equipment

2.3 Joint Industry Standards⁴

J-STD-033 Standard for Handling, Packing, Shipping and Use of Moisture/Reflow Sensitive Surface Mount Devices

J-STD-035 Acoustic Microscopy for Nonhermetic Encapsulated Electronic Components

3 APPARATUS

3.1 Temperature Humidity Chambers Moisture chamber(s), capable of operating at 85 °C/85% RH, 85 °C/60% RH, 60 °C/ 60% RH, and 30 °C/60% RH. Within the chamber working area, temperature tolerance must be ± 2 °C and the RH tolerance must be ± 3 % RH.

3.2 Solder Reflow Equipment

3.2.1 Full Convection (Preferred) Full convection reflow system capable of maintaining the reflow profiles required by this standard.

3.2.2 Infrared Infrared (IR)/convection solder reflow equipment capable of maintaining the reflow profiles required by this standard. It is required that this equipment use IR to heat only the air and not directly impinge upon the SMD Packages/ devices under test.

Note: The moisture sensitivity classification test results are dependent upon the package body temperature (rather than the mounting substrate and/or package terminal temperature).

3.3 Ovens Bake oven capable of operating at 125 $\pm 5/0$ °C.

3.4 Microscopes

3.4.1 Optical Microscope Optical Microscope (40X for external and 100X for cross-section exam).

3.4.2 Scanning Acoustic Microscope Scanning acoustic microscope with C-Mode and Through Transmission capability and capable of measuring a minimum delamination of 5% of the area being evaluated.

Note 1: The scanning acoustic microscope is used to detect cracking and delamination. However, the presence of delamination does not necessarily indicate a pending reliability problem. The reliability impact of delamination must be established for a particular die/package system.

Note 2: Refer to IPC/JEDEC J-STD-035 for operation of the scanning acoustic microscope.

3.5 Cross-Sectioning Microsectioning equipment as recommended per IPC-TM-650, Methods 2.1.1, 2.1.1.2 or other applicable document.

3.6 Electrical Test Electrical test equipment with capabilities to perform appropriate testing on devices.

3.7 Weighing Apparatus (Optional) Weighing apparatus capable of weighing the package to a resolution of 1 microgram. This apparatus must be maintained in a draft-free environment, such as a cabinet. It is used to obtain absorption and desorption data on the devices under test (see 8).

2. www.ipc.org

3. Current and revised IPC Test Methods are available through IPC-TM-650 subscription and on the IPC website (www.ipc.org/html/testmethods.htm).

4. www.ipc.org

4 CLASSIFICATION/RECLASSIFICATION

Refer to 4.2 for guidance on reclassification of previously qualified/classified SMDs.

Engineering studies have shown that thin, small volume SMD packages reach higher body temperatures during reflow soldering to boards that have been profiled for larger packages. Therefore, technical and/or business issues normally require thin, small volume SMD packages (reference Table 4-1, 4-2) to be classified at higher reflow temperatures.

Note 1: Previously classified SMDs should only be reclassified by the manufacturer. Users should refer to the “Moisture Sensitivity” label on the bag to determine at which reflow temperature the SMD packages were classified.

Note 2: Level 1 SMD packages should be considered to have a maximum reflow temperature of 220 °C unless labeled as capable of reflow at other temperatures.

Note 3: If supplier and user agree, components can be classified at temperatures other than those in Table 4-1 and 4-2.

Table 4-1 SnPb Eutectic Process – Package Peak Reflow Temperatures

Package Thickness	Volume mm ³ <350	Volume mm ³ ≥ 350
<2.5 mm	240 +0/-5 °C	225 +0/-5°C
≥ 2.5 mm	225 +0/-5°C	225 +0/-5°C

Table 4-2 Pb-free Process – Package Classification Reflow Temperatures

Package Thickness	Volume mm ³ <350	Volume mm ³ 350 - 2000	Volume mm ³ >2000
<1.6 mm	260 +0 °C *	260 +0 °C *	260 +0 °C *
1.6 mm - 2.5 mm	260 +0 °C *	250 +0 °C *	245 +0 °C *
≥2.5 mm	250 +0 °C *	245 +0 °C *	245 +0 °C *

* Tolerance: The device manufacturer/supplier **shall** assure process compatibility up to and including the stated classification temperature (this means Peak reflow temperature +0 °C. For example 260 °C+0°C) at the rated MSL level.

Note 1: The profiling tolerance is + 0 °C, -X °C (based on machine variation capability) whatever is required to control the profile process but at no time will it exceed - 5 °C. The producer assures process compatibility at the peak reflow profile temperatures defined in Table 4.2.

Note 2: Package volume excludes external terminals (balls, bumps, lands, leads) and/or nonintegral heat sinks.

Note 3: The maximum component temperature reached during reflow depends on package thickness and volume. The use of convection reflow processes reduces the thermal gradients between packages. However, thermal gradients due to differences in thermal mass of SMD packages may still exist.

Note 4: Components intended for use in a “lead-free” assembly process **shall** be evaluated using the “lead free” classification temperatures and profiles defined in Tables 4-1, 4.2 and 5-2 whether or not lead free.

4.1 Compatibility with Pb-Free Rework Unless otherwise specified by the device manufacturer, a Pb-free component (classified per Table 4.2), **shall** be capable of being reworked at 260 °C within eight hours of removal from dry storage or bake, per J-STD-033. To verify this capability for a component classified at a temperature below 260 °C, a sample of the size per 5.1.2 **shall** be soaked per Level 6 conditions (see Table 5-1) using a Time on Label (TOL) of eight hours, and reflowed at a classification temperature of 260 °C. All devices in the sample **shall** pass electrical test and have a damage response per 6.1 and 6.2 not greater than that observed for the same package at its rated MSL level. A component rated at 260 °C does not require this rework compatibility verification.

4.2 Reclassification SMD packages previously classified to a moisture sensitivity level and reflow peak/classification temperature may be reclassified if the damage response (delamination/cracking) at the more severe condition for items listed in 6.1 and 6.2 is less than, or equal to the damage response at the original classification condition.

If no major changes have been made to a previously qualified SMD package, this method may be used for reclassification to an improved level (longer floor life) at the same reflow temperature. The reclassification level cannot be improved by more than one level without additional reliability testing. Reclassification to Level 1 requires additional reliability testing.

If no major changes have been made to a previously qualified SMD package, this method may be used for reclassification at a higher reflow temperature providing the moisture level remains the same or degrades to a more sensitive level.

No SMD packages classified as moisture sensitive by any previous version of J-STD-020, JESD22-A112 (rescinded), IPC-SM-786 (superseded) may be reclassified as nonmoisture sensitive (Level 1) without additional reliability stress testing, e.g., JESD22-A113 and JESD47 or the semiconductor manufacturer's in-house procedures.

To minimize testing, the results from a given SMD package may be generically accepted to cover all other devices which are manufactured in the same package, using the same packaging materials (die attach, mold compound and or die coating, etc.), with the die using the same wafer fabrication technology, and with die pad dimensions not greater than those qualified.

The following attributes could affect the moisture sensitivity of a device and may require reclassification:

- Die attach material/process.
- Number of pins.
- Encapsulation (mold compound or glob top) material/process.
- Die pad area and shape.
- Body size.
- Passivation/die coating.
- Leadframe, substrate, and/or heat spreader design/material/finish.
- Die size/thickness.
- Wafer fabrication technology/process.
- Interconnect.
- Lead lock taping size/location as well as material.

5 PROCEDURE

The recommended procedure is to start testing at the lowest moisture sensitivity level the evaluation package is reasonably expected to pass (based on knowledge of other similar evaluation packages).

In the case of equipment malfunction, operator error or electrical power loss, engineering judgment **shall** be used to ensure that the minimum intent/requirements of this specification are met.

5.1 Sample Requirements

5.1.1 Reclassification (Qualified Package Without Additional Reliability Testing) For a qualified SMD package being reclassified without additional reliability testing select a minimum sample of 22 units for each moisture sensitivity level to be tested. A minimum of two nonconsecutive assembly lots must be included in the sample with each lot having approximately the same representation. Sample units **shall** have completed all manufacturing processing required prior to shipment. Sample groups may be run concurrently on one or more moisture sensitivity levels.

5.1.2 Classification/Reclassification and Rework Select a minimum sample of 11 units for each moisture sensitivity level to be tested. A minimum of two nonconsecutive assembly lots must be included in the sample with each lot having approximately the same representation. Sample units **shall** have completed all manufacturing processes required prior to shipment. Sample groups may be run concurrently on one or more moisture sensitivity levels. Testing must be continued until a passing level is found.

SMD packages should not be reclassified by the user unless approved by the supplier.

5.2 Initial Electrical Test Test appropriate electrical parameters, e.g., data sheet values, in-house specifications, etc. Replace any components, while maintaining the sample requirements of 5.1.2, which fail to meet tested parameters.

5.3 Initial Inspection Perform an external visual and acoustic microscope examination, on all components, to establish a baseline for the cracking/delamination criteria in 6.2.1.

Note: This standard does not consider or establish any accept/reject criteria for delamination at initial/time zero inspection.

5.4 Bake Bake the sample for 24 hours minimum at 125 +5/-0 °C. This step is intended to remove moisture from the package so that it will be “dry.”

Note: This time/temperature may be modified if desorption data on the particular device under test shows that a different condition is required to obtain a “dry” package when starting in the wet condition for 85 °C/85% RH (see 8.3).

5.5 Moisture Soak Place devices in a clean, dry, shallow container so that the package bodies do not touch or overlap each other. Submit each sample to the appropriate soak requirements shown in Table 5-1. At all times parts should be handled using proper ESD procedures in accordance with JESD 625.

Table 5-1 Moisture Sensitivity Levels

LEVEL	FLOOR LIFE		SOAK REQUIREMENTS			
			Standard		Accelerated Equivalent ¹	
	TIME	CONDITIONS	TIME (hours)	CONDITIONS	TIME (hours)	CONDITIONS
1	Unlimited	≤30 °C/85% RH	168 +5/-0	85 °C/85% RH		
2	1 year	≤30 °C/60% RH	168 +5/-0	85 °C/60% RH		
2a	4 weeks	≤30 °C/60% RH	696 ² +5/-0	30 °C/60% RH	120 +1/-0	60 °C/60% RH
3	168 hours	≤30 °C/60% RH	192 ² +5/-0	30 °C/60% RH	40 +1/-0	60 °C/60% RH
4	72 hours	≤30 °C/60% RH	96 ² +2/-0	30 °C/60% RH	20 +0.5/-0	60 °C/60% RH
5	48 hours	≤30 °C/60% RH	72 ² +2/-0	30 °C/60% RH	15 +0.5/-0	60 °C/60% RH
5a	24 hours	≤30 °C/60% RH	48 ² +2/-0	30 °C/60% RH	10 +0.5/-0	60 °C/60% RH
6	Time on Label (TOL)	≤30 °C/60% RH	TOL	30 °C/60% RH		

Note 1: CAUTION - The “accelerated equivalent” soak requirements **shall not** be used until correlation of damage response, including electrical, after soak and reflow is established with the “standard” soak requirements or if the known activation energy for diffusion is 0.4 - 0.48 eV. Accelerated soak times may vary due to material properties, e.g., mold compound, encapsulant, etc. JEDEC document JESD22-A120 provides a method for determining the diffusion coefficient.

Note 2: The standard soak time includes a default value of 24 hours for semiconductor manufacturer’s exposure time (MET) between bake and bag and includes the maximum time allowed out of the bag at the distributor’s facility.

If the actual MET is less than 24 hours the soak time may be reduced. For soak conditions of 30 °C/60% RH the soak time is reduced by one hour for each hour the MET is less than 24 hours. For soak conditions of 60 °C/60% RH, the soak time is reduced by one hour for each five hours the MET is less than 24 hours.

If the actual MET is greater than 24 hours the soak time must be increased. If soak conditions are 30 °C/60% RH, the soak time is increased one hour for each hour that the actual MET exceeds 24 hours. If soak conditions are 60 °C/60% RH, the soak time is increased one hour for each five hours that the actual MET exceeds 24 hours.

Note 3: Supplier may extend the soak times at their own risk.

5.6 Reflow Not sooner than 15 minutes and not longer than four hours after removal from the temperature/humidity chamber, subject the sample to three cycles of the appropriate reflow conditions as defined in Table 5-2 and Figure 5-1. If the timing between removal from the temperature/humidity chamber and initial reflow cannot be met then the parts must be rebaked and resoaked according to 5.4 and 5.5. The time between reflows **shall** be five minutes minimum and 60 minutes maximum.

5.7 Final External Visual Examine the devices using an optical microscope (40X) to look for external cracks.

5.8 Final Electrical Test Perform appropriate electrical testing on all devices, e.g., data sheet values, in-house specifications, etc.

5.9 Final Acoustic Microscopy Perform scanning acoustic microscope analysis on all devices.

Table 5-2 Classification Reflow Profiles

Profile Feature	Sn-Pb Eutectic Assembly	Pb-Free Assembly
Average Ramp-Up Rate ($T_{s_{max}}$ to T_p)	3 °C/second max.	3° C/second max.
Preheat – Temperature Min ($T_{s_{min}}$) – Temperature Max ($T_{s_{max}}$) – Time ($t_{s_{min}}$ to $t_{s_{max}}$)	100 °C 150 °C 60-120 seconds	150 °C 200 °C 60-180 seconds
Time maintained above: – Temperature (T_L) – Time (t_L)	183 °C 60-150 seconds	217 °C 60-150 seconds
Peak/Classification Temperature (T_p)	See Table 4.1	See Table 4.2
Time within 5 °C of actual Peak Temperature (t_p)	10-30 seconds	20-40 seconds
Ramp-Down Rate	6 °C/second max.	6 °C/second max.
Time 25 °C to Peak Temperature	6 minutes max.	8 minutes max.

Note 1: All temperatures refer to topside of the package, measured on the package body surface.




Figure 5-1 Classification Reflow Profile

6 CRITERIA

6.1 Failure Criteria If one or more devices in the test sample fail, the package **shall** be considered to have failed the tested level.

A device is considered a failure if it exhibits any of the following:

- External crack visible using 40X optical microscope.
- Electrical test failure.
- Internal crack that intersects a bond wire, ball bond, or wedge bond.
- Internal crack extending from any lead finger to any other internal feature (lead finger, chip, die attach paddle).
- Internal crack extending more than two-thirds ($2/3$) the distance from any internal feature to the outside of the package.

f. Changes in package body flatness caused by warpage, swelling or bulging visible to the naked eye. If parts still meet co-planarity and standoff dimensions they **shall** be considered passing.

Note 1: If internal cracks are indicated by acoustic microscopy, they must be considered a failure or verified good using polished cross sections through the identified site.

Note 2: For packages known to be sensitive to vertical cracks it is recommended that polished cross sections be used to confirm the nonexistence of near vertical cracks within the mold compound or encapsulant.

Note 3: Failing SMD packages must be evaluated to a higher numeric level of moisture sensitivity using a new set of samples.

Note 4: If the components pass the requirements of 6.1, and there is no evidence of delamination or cracks observed by acoustic microscopy or other means, the component is considered to pass that level of moisture sensitivity.

6.2 Criteria Requiring Further Evaluation To evaluate the impact of delamination on device reliability, the semiconductor manufacturer may either meet the delamination requirements shown in 6.2.1 or perform reliability assessment using JESD22-A113 and JESD47 or the semiconductor manufacturer's in-house procedures. The reliability assessment may consist of stress testing, historical generic data analysis, etc. Annex A shows the logic flow diagram for the implementation of these criteria.

If the SMD Packages pass electrical tests and there is delamination on the back side of the die paddle, heat spreader, die back side (lead on chip only) but there is no evidence of cracking, or other delamination, and they still meet specified dimensional criteria, the SMD Packages are considered to pass that level of moisture sensitivity.

6.2.1 Delamination The following delamination changes are measured from pre-moisture soak to post reflow. A delamination change is the change between pre- and post-reflow. The percent (%) delamination change is calculated in relation to the total area being evaluated.

6.2.1.1 Metal Leadframe Packages:

- a. No delamination on the active side of the die.
- b. No delamination change >10% on any wire bonding surface of the die paddle (downbond area) or the leadframe of LOC (Lead On Chip) devices.
- c. No delamination change >10% along any polymeric film bridging any metallic features that is designed to be isolated (verifiable by through transmission acoustic microscopy).
- d. No delamination/cracking change >10% through the die attach region in thermally enhanced packages or devices that require electrical contact to the backside of the die.
- e. No surface-breaking feature delaminated over its entire length. A surface-breaking feature includes: lead fingers, tie bars, heat spreader alignment features, heat slugs, etc.

6.2.1.2 Substrate Based Packages (e.g., BGA, LGA, etc.):

- a. No delamination on the active side of the die.
- b. No delamination change >10% on any wire bonding surface of the laminate.
- c. No delamination change >10% along the polymer potting or molding compound/laminate interface for cavity and over-molded packages.
- d. No delamination change >10% along the solder mask/laminate resin interface.
- e. No delamination change >10% within the laminate.
- f. No delamination/cracking change >10% through the die attach region.
- g. No delamination/cracking between underfill resin and chip or underfill resin and substrate/solder mask.
- h. No surface-breaking feature delaminated over its entire length. A surface-breaking feature includes lead fingers, laminate, laminate metallization, PTH, heat slugs, etc.

Note: On substrate based packages, the C-mode acoustic image is not easy to interpret. Through transmission acoustic imaging is recommended because it is easier to interpret and more reliable. If it is necessary to verify results or determine at what level in the package the cracking/delamination is occurring, cross-sectional analysis should be used.

6.3 Failure Verification All failures should be analyzed to confirm that the failure mechanism is associated with moisture sensitivity. If there are no reflow moisture-sensitive-induced failures in the level selected, the component meets the tested level of moisture sensitivity.

If the acoustic microscope scans show failure to any of the criteria listed in 6.2.1, the SMD Packages **shall** be tested to a higher numeric level of moisture sensitivity or subjected to a reliability assessment using JESD22-A113 and JESD47 or the semiconductor manufacturer's in-house procedures.

7 MOISTURE/REFLOW SENSITIVITY CLASSIFICATION

If a device passes Level 1, it is classified as not moisture sensitive and does not require dry pack.

If a device fails Level 1 but passes a higher numerical level, it is classified as moisture sensitive and must be dry packed in accordance with J-STD-033.

If a device will only pass Level 6, it is classified as extremely moisture sensitive and dry pack will not provide adequate protection. If this product is shipped, the customer must be advised of its classification. The supplier must also include a warning label with the device indicating that it either be socket mounted, or baked dry within time on label before reflow soldering. The minimum bake time and temperature should be determined from desorption studies of the device under test (see 8.3).

8 OPTIONAL WEIGHT GAIN/LOSS ANALYSIS

8.1 Weight Gain Weight gain analysis (absorption) can be very valuable in determining estimated floor life (the time from removal of a device from dry pack until it absorbs sufficient moisture to be at risk during reflow soldering). Weight loss analysis (desorption) is valuable in determining the bake time required to remove excess moisture from a device so that it will no longer be at risk during reflow soldering. Weight gain/loss is calculated using an average for the entire sample. It is recommended that ten (10) devices be used in the sample.

Final weight gain = (wet weight - dry weight)/dry weight.

Final weight loss = (wet weight - dry weight)/wet weight.

Interim weight gain = (present weight - dry weight)/dry weight.

Interim weight loss = (wet weight - present weight)/wet weight.

“Wet” is relative and means the package is exposed to moisture under specific temperature and humidity conditions.

“Dry” is specific and means no additional moisture can be removed from the package at 125 °C.

8.2 Absorption Curve

8.2.1 Read Points The X-axis (time) read points should be selected for plotting the absorption curve. For the early readings, points should be relatively short (24 hours or less) because the curve will have a steep initial slope. Later readings may be spread out further (10 days or more) as the curve becomes asymptotic. The Y-axis (weight gain) should start with “0” and increase to the saturated weight gain. Most devices will reach saturation between 0.3% and 0.4% when stored at 85 °C/85% RH. Use the formula in 8.1. Devices **shall** be kept at room ambient between removal from the oven or chamber and weighing and subsequent reinsertion into the oven or chamber.

8.2.2 Dry Weight The dry weight of the sample should be determined first. Bake the sample for 48 hours minimum at 125 +5/-0 °C to ensure that the devices are dry. Within one (1) hour after removal from the oven, weigh the devices using the optional equipment in 3.7 and determine an average dry weight per 8.1. For small SMDs (less than 1.5 mm total height), devices should be weighed within thirty (30) minutes after removal from oven.

8.2.3 Moisture Soak Within one (1) hour after weighing, place the devices in a clean, dry, shallow container so that the package bodies do not touch each other. Place the devices in the desired temperature/humidity condition for the desired length of time.

8.2.4 Readouts Upon removal of the devices from the temperature/humidity chamber, allow devices to cool for at least 15 minutes. Within one (1) hour after removal from the chamber, weigh the devices. For small SMDs (less than 1.5 mm total height), devices should be weighed within thirty (30) minutes after removal from the chamber. After the devices are

weighed, follow the procedure in 8.2.3 for placing the devices back in the temperature/humidity chamber. No more than two (2) hours total time should elapse between removal of devices from the temperature/humidity chamber and their return to the chamber.

Continue alternating between 8.2.3 and 8.2.4 until the devices reach saturation as indicated by no additional increase in moisture absorption or until soaked to the maximum time of interest.

8.3 Desorption Curve A desorption curve can be plotted using devices that have reached saturation as determined in 8.2.

8.3.1 Read Points The suggested read points on the X-axis are 12 hour intervals. The Y-axis should run from “0” weight gain to the saturated value as determined in 8.2.

8.3.2 Baking Within one (1) hour (but not sooner than fifteen (15) minutes) after removal of the saturated devices from the temperature/humidity chamber, place the devices in a clean, dry, shallow container so that the package bodies do not touch each other. Place the devices in the bake oven at the desired temperature for the desired time.

8.3.3 Readouts At the desired read point; remove the devices from the bake oven. Within one (1) hour after removal of the devices from the bake oven, remove the devices from the container and determine their average weight using the optional equipment in 3.7 and formula in 8.1.

Within one (1) hour after weighing the devices, place them in a clean, dry, shallow container so that the package bodies do not touch each other. Return the devices to the bake oven for the desired time.


Continue until the devices have lost all their moisture as determined by the dry weight in 8.2.2.

9 ADDITIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

The following details **shall** be specified in the applicable procurement document:

- a. Device selection criteria if different from 5.1.
- b. Test procedure sample size if different from 5.1.
- c. Package types to be evaluated.
- d. Any reject criteria (including Scanning Acoustic Microscope criterion) in addition to those shown in Clause 6.
- e. Any preconditioning requirements beyond those shown in Clause 5.
- f. Conditions or frequency under which retest is required.

Annex A Classification Flow





ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®

Standard Improvement Form

IPC/JEDEC J-STD-020C

The purpose of this form is to provide the Technical Committee of IPC with input from the industry regarding usage of the subject standard.

Individuals or companies are invited to submit comments to IPC. All comments will be collected and dispersed to the appropriate committee(s).

If you can provide input, please complete this form and return to:

IPC
2215 Sanders Road
Northbrook, IL 60062-6135
Fax 847 509.9798

1. I recommend changes to the following:

- Requirement, paragraph number _____
- Test Method number _____, paragraph number _____

The referenced paragraph number has proven to be:

- Unclear Too Rigid In Error
- Other _____

2. Recommendations for correction:

3. Other suggestions for document improvement:

Submitted by:

Name

Telephone

Company

E-mail

Address

City/State/Zip

Date



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®

ISBN #1-580987-46-X

2215 Sanders Road, Northbrook, IL 60062-6135
Tel. 847.509.9700 Fax 847.509.9798
www.ipc.org